Experimentation 000

Meta Evidential Transformer for Few-Shot Open-Set Recognition

Hitesh Sapkota, Krishna Prasad Neupane, and Qi Yu

Rochester Institute of Technology

July, 2024



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへ()~

Overview

- Few Shot Open Set Recognition (FSOSR) aims to detect instances from unknown classes by utilizing a small set of labeled instances from closed-set classes.
- FSOSR can benefit many critical domains such as fraud detection and surveillance systems, where labeled data is typically limited and novel samples may occur frequently.
- Existing techniques (e.g., PEELER and SnaTCHer) are not designed to handle the challenging scenarios, where the open-set samples share similarities with closed-set samples.
- To tackle these challenging cases, we propose Meta Evidential Transformer (MET) that leverages
 - an evidential open-set loss to learn more compact closed-set representations during training process,
 - an evidence-to-variance ratio (EVR) to identify challenging open-set samples during inference process

FSOSR: Key Challenges





Ferrets (88)

Golden Retriever (82)

Malamute (83)

(a) Open-set sample (golden retriever) shares similar features with closed-set samples including ferrets and malamute.



Challenging learning scenarios:

1 General: Novel samples share some similarity with closed-set ones.

- **2** Extreme: A closed-set class is very distinct from other closed-set classes.
- An open-set golden retriever in the above figure may be misclassified as closed-set classes (e.g., Ferrets and Malamute) due to their mutual similarities.
- Existing techniques are not designed to handle such challenging situations leading to sub-optimal FSOSR performance

Solution: Learn Compact Closed-Set Representation

Key idea: Expose the model to "strong opponent classes" chosen from the closed-set classes to learn more compact closed-set representations

Meta Training:

- Learn to assign a high uncertainty to the opponent classes serving as a training-time open-set sample by leveraging novel open-set evidential loss.
- 2 Use a novel open-set score instead of entropy as a higher entropy does not tell whether a sample is close to multiple-set classes or far from all of them (open-set case)

Meta Testing:

- Due to the normalization effect of attention layers in the transformer, the open-set sample in the extremely challenging situation will likely be assigned to a special closed-set class
- Propose Evidence-to-variance ratio (EVR) to identify those samples during inference

Training: Evidence Guided Training

Dataset Construction:

- Construct a meta-training set consisting of only training classes with no overlap with samples from meta-test classes
- Choose a set of opponent classes from existing known closed-set classes to serve as open-set classes aiming to learn more compact representations.

Training:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left\{ \sum_{(\mathbf{x}_{j}, y_{j}) \in T_{i}^{tr} | y_{j} \in C^{s}} \mathcal{L}_{close} \left(y_{j}, P_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(.|\mathbf{x}_{j}, S_{i}^{tr}) \right) + \lambda \sum_{(\mathbf{x}_{j}, y_{j}) \in T_{i}^{tr} | y_{j} \in C^{u}} \mathcal{L}_{open} \left(P_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(.|\mathbf{x}_{j}, S_{i}^{tr}) \right) \right\}$$
(1)

Open-set samples: Shrink total evidence towards zero for the opponent open-set classes by minimizing the following open-set loss

$$\mathcal{L}_{open}(\cdot) = \sum_{j|y_j \in C^u} \mathcal{K}L[\operatorname{Dir}(\mathbf{p}_j|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j)||\operatorname{Dir}(\mathbf{p}_j|(1,...,1)^{\top})]$$
(2)

Sac

Inference: Evidential Cross-Attention

 For the challenging FSOSR task involving special class, the evidence distribution within the closed-set classes exhibit high variance and relatively low maximum evidence. As such,
Evidence-to-variance ratio (EVR) remains low in these difficult tasks

$$\mathsf{EVR}_{i} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Q}_{i}^{te}|} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{Q}_{i}^{te}} \frac{\max_{n \in N}[e_{jn}]}{\operatorname{var}_{n \in N}[e_{jn}]}$$
(3)

 For easy tasks, the ratio remains high resulting in the EVR for challenging tasks being lower than that of easy tasks. By leveraging EVR, the attention can be adjusted as:

$$A_{i}[c_{1}, c_{2}] = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_{i}[c_{1}, c_{2}] \times \frac{\epsilon}{\mathsf{EVR}_{i}} & \text{if } cond == true \\ A_{i}[c_{1}, c_{2}] & \text{else} \end{array} \right\}$$
$$cond = \left\{ (c_{1} == c || c_{2} == c) \& c_{1} \neq c_{2} \right\}$$
(4)

 In challenging cases, by drastically altering the attention weights, the original prototype will significantly differ from the altered prototype representation leading to improved OSR.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

FSOSR Performance

Approaches	MiniImageNet 5-way		TieredImagenet 5-way	
	1-shot	5-shot	1-shot	5-shot
ProtoNet RelationNet	$\begin{array}{c} 51.63 \pm 0.47 \\ 53.14 \pm 0.67 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.26 \pm 0.56 \\ 62.22 \pm 0.78 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 58.48 \pm 0.50 \\ 60.85 \pm 0.68 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.46 \pm 0.24 \\ 64.42 \pm 0.57 \end{array}$
<i>OpenMAX</i> <i>FEAT (Probability)</i> <i>Feat (Distance)</i> <i>PEELER</i> <i>SnaTCHer</i> <i>TANE</i>	$\begin{array}{c} 71.67 \pm 0.87 \\ 45.00 \pm 0.70 \\ 67.71 \pm 0.92 \\ 60.36 \pm 0.72 \\ 67.37 \pm 0.91 \\ 73.23 \pm 0.25 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 76.75 \pm 0.80 \\ 53.82 \pm 0.78 \\ 75.32 \pm 0.84 \\ 68.45 \pm 0.78 \\ 77.99 \pm 0.76 \\ 81.15 \pm 0.18 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.27 \pm 0.55 \\ 57.14 \pm 0.57 \\ 61.52 \pm 0.58 \\ 58.24 \pm 0.65 \\ 71.00 \pm 0.66 \\ 74.89 \pm 0.64 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 70.92\pm0.52\\ 63.94\pm0.52\\ 70.77\pm0.52\\ 66.14\pm0.74\\ 79.49\pm0.47\\ 80.45\pm0.49 \end{array}$
MET	$\textbf{76.93} \pm \textbf{0.59}$	$\textbf{84.90} \pm \textbf{0.41}$	$\textbf{78.77} \pm \textbf{0.46}$	$\textbf{84.37} \pm \textbf{0.35}$

We use AUROC as an evaluation metric for FSOSR which is higher the better.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Transformer	Evidential Loss	EVR .	AUROC	
			1-shot	5-shot
\checkmark			67.37	77.99
\checkmark	\checkmark		74.35	81.47
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	76.93	84.90

- Ablation study on MiniImageNet dataset shows that:
 - Evidential loss brings performance improvement of more than 6.5% in a 1-Shot setting whereas more than 3% in a 5-Shot setting.
 - 2 EVR further boosts the performance by more than 3% in 5-Shot and more than 1.5% in 1-Shot setting.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Conclusions

- Propose a new evidential open-set loss to learn more compact closed-set representations by leveraging similar closed-set classes as opponent open-set classes.
- Propose a novel evidence-to-variance ratio (EVR) to identify challenging open-set samples.
- Propose a uniquely designed evidence-based cross-attention mechanism.
- Show state-of-the-art FSOSR performance in multiple real-world datasets.

Poster

More detailed information will be in the Poster with ID: 1308 (Hall C 4-9 #2314).